

# CA FINAL

## STRATEGIC FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

### SUPER 100 PART 1 Answers

-Sanjay Saraf Sir

Powered by -



## Question 1.

a. Merger will be viable firm A if –

$$(P_{AB} > P_A)$$

Probability of  $(P_{AB} > 22)$  should be  $>70\%$

$$(P_{AB} = \text{Price after merger})$$

$$\Rightarrow (P/E)_{AB} \times \text{EPS}_{PB} = 22 \text{ at least}$$

$$\Rightarrow (P/E)_{AB} \times 3 = 22 \text{ at least}$$

$$(P/E)_{AB} \text{ ratio should be } \geq \frac{22}{3} \geq 7.33$$

$$Z = \frac{x - u}{\sigma} = \frac{7.33 - 8}{2} = -0.34$$

Area in one tail (left tail) = .3632

$\therefore$  Required Probability =  $1 - .3632 \Rightarrow .6368 = 64\%$  (approx)

There is 64% chance price after merger will be  $> 22$

but needed 70%

$\therefore$  Merger not acceptable.

b. Post merger EPS =  $[(120 + 45)/(35 + 2 \times 10)] = 3$

P/E ratio = 8

Post merger price = 24

Y is getting 2 shares which means it is getting  $2 \times 24 = 48$  which is more than its adjusting Price of 35. So, merger is viable for Y

c. As calculated above, Post-Merger Price = 24

NPV i.e. Gain to Y =  $(48 - 35) \times 10 = 130\text{cr}$

**Note : Extra Knowledge -**

$$\text{NPV to x} = \left(1320 \times \frac{35}{55}\right) - (35 \times 22) = 70\text{cr}$$

also as  $(24 - 22) \times 35 = 70\text{ cr}$

Value of synergy =  $130 + 70 = 200\text{ cr}$

## Question 2.

a. A borrows floating at PLR + 3% and lends them to B at PLR + 3% and borrows from B at fixed 8.5%.

B borrows fixed at 10% and lends them to A at 8.5% and borrows floating from A at PLR + 3%.

b. Cost of funds to A = PLR + 3% – (PLR + 3%) + 8.5 = 8.5% .

Cost of funds to B = 10% – 8.5% + PLR + 3% = PLR + 4.5%.

Assuming expectations theory holds good. Cost of funds to B can be calculated as follows :

Year	Expected annual PLR rates	Loading	Effective rates	Effective rate under cap
1	5.0%	4.5	9.5	9.50
2	$[(1.055)^2 \div (1.05)] - 1 = 6.00\%$	4.5	10.5	10.50
3	$[(1.065)^3 \div (1.055)^2] - 1 = 8.5\%$	4.5	13.0	11.25
4	$[(1.07)^4 \div (1.065)^3] - 1 = 8.5\%$	4.5	13.0	11.25

Effective cost =  $[1.095 \times 1.105 \times (1.1125)^2]^{1/4} - 1 = 0.1062$  i.e., 10.62% per annum.

### Question 3.

#### Income Statement Projection

	Current Figures	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	5+
Sales	500.0	550.0	605.0	665.6	732.1	805.3	805.3
Gross Margin (25%)	125	137.5	151.3	166.4	183.0	201.3	201.3
Selling & General Administrative (15%)	75.0	82.5	90.8	99.8	109.8	120.8	120.8
Profit before tax (10%)	50.0	55.0	60.5	66.6	73.2	80.5	80.5
Income tax (30%)	15.0	16.5	18.2	20.0	22.0	24.2	24.2
Net profit	35.0	38.5	42.3	46.6	51.2	56.3	56.3

#### Balance Sheet Projection

Fixed Assets	175	192.5	211.8	232.9	256.2	281.8	281.8
Current Assets	75	82.5	90.8	99.8	109.8	120.8	120.8
Total Assets	250	275.0	302.6	332.7	366.0	402.6	402.6

#### Cash flow Projection

Particulars	1	2	3	4	5	5+
a. NOPAT i.e. PAT	38.5	42.3	46.6	51.2	56.3	56.3
b. Capital Spending - Depreciation	17.5	19.3	21.1	23.3	25.6	0
c. Increase in Current Assets	7.5	8.3	9	10	11	0
d. FCFF (a - b - c)	13.5	14.7	16.5	17.9	19.7	56.3

#### Present value calculation

a. PV factor at 20%	0.833	0.694	0.579	0.482	0.402	-
b. PV	13.5 × 0.833 =11.25	14.7 × 0.694 =10.20	16.5 × 0.579 = 9.55	17.9 × 0.482 = 8.63	19.7 × 0.402 = 7.92	
c. Horizon value at the end of 5 years					56.3/0.2 =281.5	

So, PV of first 5 years = 47.55.

PV of horizon value = 113.13

Therefore, Post Strategy Value = 160.68

Pre Strategy Value =  $35/0.2 = 175$ .

Value of the Strategy =  $160.68 - 175 = (14.32)$

Hence, the strategy is not viable.

### Question 4.

- a. The value of the company Quantum Jump under both cases can be calculated as follows:

Rs.mn

Year		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Before Merger	Cash flows	15.6	17.2	18.8	22.6	24.9	
	C.V.	-	-	-	-	239.9*	
After Merger	Cash flows	20.3	24.4	29.6	35.9	42.8	
	C.V.	-	-	-	-	513.6**	
	PVIF @ 17%	0.855	0.731	0.624	0.534	0.456	
PV of CFs and C.V.	Before Merger	13.34	12.57	11.73	12.07	120.75	170.46
	After Merger	17.36	17.84	18.47	19.17	253.72	326.56

$$* \text{ C.V.} = 24.9 (1.06) / (0.17 - 0.06) = 239.9$$

$$** \text{ C.V.} = 42.8 (1.08) / (0.17 - 0.08) = 513.6$$

The desired PV of cash flows to the management of M/s. Quantum Jump Ltd =  
Rs.170.46 × 1.2 = Rs.204.55 mn

Therefore, the ownership position required by shareholders of M/s. Quantum Jump Ltd. in the merged entity: i.e., OP = 204.55 / 326.56 = 0.6264.

Hence the maximum exchange ratio which will be acceptable to the shareholders of M/s. Quantum Jump Ltd. will be.

$$0.6264 = \frac{N_Q}{N_Q + ER N_U} = 20 / (20 + ER \times 10) \Rightarrow ER = 1.193$$

i.e., ER = 1.2 i.e., 6 shares of Quantum Jump for every 5 shares of Unique Products Ltd.

- b. Ownership position of Unique Products Ltd: 1 – 0.6264 = 0.3736

Hence value of ownership = 0.3736 × 326.56 = Rs.122.003 mn

Since, it is less than Rs.125 mn which was before merger. Therefore, the merger proposition offered by Quantum Jump will not be acceptable to Unique Products.

## Question 5.

a.

i. Computation of tax rate

EBIT = 245 crore

Interest = 218.125 crore

PBT = 26.875 crore

PAT = 17.2 crore

Tax paid = 9.675 crore

Tax rate =  $9.675 / 26.875 = 0.36 = 36\%$

ii. Computation for increase in working capital

Working capital (2000) = 44 crore

Increase in 2001 =  $44 \times 0.08 = 3.52$  crore

It will continue to increase @ 8% per annum.

iii. Weighted average cost of capital

Present debt = 1934 core

Interest cost =  $218.125 \text{ crore} / 1934 = 11.28\%$

Equity capital =  $75 \text{ crore} \times \text{Rs. } 66 = 4950$  crore

$$\begin{aligned} K_c &= \frac{4950}{1934 + 4950} \times 16\% + \frac{1934}{1934 + 4950} \times 11.28(1 - 0.36) \\ &= 11.51 + 2.028 = 13.54 \end{aligned}$$

iv. As capital expenditure and depreciation are equal, they will not influence the free cash flows of the company.

v. Computation of free cash flows upto 2003

Year	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
EBIT (1-t)	169.344 crore	182.89 crore	197.52 crore	213.32 crore	230.39 crore
Increase in working capital	3.52 crore	3.80 crore	4.11 crore	4.43 crore	4.79 crore
Debt repayment	–	–	–	–	$1934 \times 0.30$ $= 580.2$ crore
Free cash flows	165.824 crore	179.09 crore	193.41 crore	208.89 crore	$-354.6$ crore
PV of free cash flow @ 13.54%	146.10 crore	138.97 crore	132.10 crore	125.75 crore	$-187.93$ crore

Present value of free cash flows upto 2005 = 354.99 crore

Sanjay Saraf Sir

vi. Cost of capital

$$\text{Debt} = 0.7 \cdot 1934 = 1353.8 \text{ crore}$$

$$\text{Equity} = 4950 \text{ crore}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_c &= \frac{4950}{4950+1353.8} \times 16\% + \frac{1353.8}{4950+1353.8} \times 13.54(1-0.36) \\ &= 12.56 + 1.86 = 14.42\% \end{aligned}$$

vii. Continuing value

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{240.336}{0.1442 - 0.06} \times (1/1.1354)^5 \\ &= 1512.735 \text{ crore} \end{aligned}$$

Value of the firm = PV of free cash flows upto 2005 + continuing value –  
Market value of outstanding debt

$$= 354.99 \text{ crore} + 1512.735 - 1353.8 = 513.925 \text{ crore}$$

b. Value per share =  $513.925 / 75 = 6.852 < \text{Rs. } 66$  (present market price)

Therefore, the share price is overvalued in the market.

## Question 6.

a. Purchase price premium

Purchase price premium

= Offer price for target company stock/target company market price per share

= Rs.85.50/ Rs. 75.30

= 1.1354 or 13.54% (i.e., 1.1354-1)

b. Exchange ratio

Price per share offered for the target company /market price per share for the acquiring company = Rs. 85.50/Rs. 65.50 = 1.305

So CDE issues 1.305 of stock for each share of RZB's stock.

c. Post merger EPS of the united company

New shares issued by the CDE Ltd.

= Exchange ratio × shares of RZB (target company)

= 1.305 × 25,000 = 32,625 Total shares outstanding of the combined company

= 1,20,000+32,625 = 1,52,625

Post merger EPS of the combined companies

= [20.50+15.65 = 36.15 lakh] / 1,52,625 = Rs. 23.685

d. Post merger share price

Premerger EPS of CDE Ltd. = 20.5 lakh/1,20,000 = Rs.17.083

Premerger P/E = Premerger price per share / premerger earning per share

= Rs. 65.50/ Rs.17.083 = Rs. 3.834

Post merger share price = Post merger EPS × Premerger P/E

= 23.685 × 3.834 = Rs.90.81

e. Post merger equity ownership distribution

RZB Ltd.	32,625/1,52,625	21.376%
CDE Ltd.	100 – 21.376%	78.624%
		100%

## Question 7.

Year	Cash Flows (Amount in lakh)	Discount Rate (%)	Present Value (Amount in lakh)
1	(-) 7.5	$1.15^{-1}$	(-) 6.52
2	(-) 4	$1.15^{-2}$	(-) 3.02
3	6	$1.15^{-3}$	3.95
4	8	$1.15^{-4}$	4.57
5	10	$1.15^{-5}$	4.97
Total			3.95

$$\text{Terminal value} = \frac{[10 \text{ lakh} \times (1 + 0.04)] / [0.10 - 0.04]}{1.15^5} = 10.4 / (0.06 \times 1.15^5)$$

$$= 86.177 \text{ lakh}$$

$$\text{Minimum price} = 86.177 + 3.95 = 90.127 \text{ lakh}$$

$$\text{Maximum price} = 90.127 + 18 = 108.127 \text{ lakh}$$

### Question 8.

---

$BPS = [\text{Total assets} - \text{Total liabilities} - \text{Goodwill}] / \text{No. of outstanding shares}$

Total assets =  $30 + 120 = 150$  lakh

Total liabilities =  $45 + 20 = 65$  lakh

$BPS = [150 - 65 - 20] / 5.1$

= 12.745 lakh

So the Implied Market Value Per Share =  $12.745 \cdot 4 = 50.98$  lakh

## Question 9.

### Estimation of Cost of Acquisition (Payment basis)

Debentures		4,40,000
Current Liabilities:		1,25,000
Cash	11 x 50,000	5,50,000
Shares	12 x 50,000	6,00,000
Payment of Good Will		2,00,000
Dissolution expenses (50000/2)		25,000
		19,40,000
Less: Realization		
Inventories	2,00,000	
Debtors	1,00,000	
Cash	75,000	3,75,000
		15,65,000

### Determination on Net Present Value

Year	Cash Flows	PV Factor @12%	Total PV
1-5	2,50,000	3.605	9,01,250
6	16,50,000	0.507	8,36,550
			17,37,800
Less: Cost of Acquisition			15,65,000
Net Present Value			1,72,800

Since the net present value is positive it is advisable to go ahead with the acquisition proposal.

## Question 10.

a. Total market value = Rs.40,00,000 + Rs.45,00,000 = Rs.85,00,000

Total earnings = 4,50,000 + 5,25,000 = Rs.9,75,000

Earnings per share of the merged firm is Rs.3.5

Therefore, the number of shares outstanding  $9,75,000 / 3.5 = 2,78,570$

The market price per share is Rs 30.51 i.e.  $(85,00,000 / 2,78,570)$

P/E of Alpha =  $40 / 2.5 = 16$

P/E of Beta =  $36 / 4 = 9$

P/E ratio of the merged firm =  $8.72$  i.e.  $(30.51 / 3.5)$

	Alpha Inc.	Beta Inc.	Merged firm
Earnings per share	2.5	4	3.5
Price per share	40	36	30.51
Price-earnings ratio	16	9	8.72
Total earnings	4,50,000	5,25,000	9,75,000
Total market value	40,00,000	45,00,000	85,00,000

b. Number of shares outstanding = Total Earnings / Earnings per share

Alpha Inc. =  $4,50,000 / 2.5 = 1,80,000$

Beta =  $5,25,000 / 4 = 1,31,250$

Merged Firm =  $9,75,000 / 3.5 = 2,78,570$

Alpha Inc. had issued 98,570 i.e.  $(2,78,570 - 1,80,000)$  additional shares in order to takeover Beta Inc.

which had 1,31,250 shares outstanding.

Thus, Rate of exchange of shares =  $98,570 / 1,31,250 = 0.75$  i.e.

0.75 shares of Alpha Inc. were exchanged for each share of Beta Inc.

Thus the exchange ratio = 0.75

c. Alpha paid a total of Rs.30,07,370 i.e.  $(98,570 \times 30.51)$  for something that was worth Rs.28,00,000.

Thus, the cost of acquisition is  $30,07,370 - 28,00,000 = Rs.2,07,370$ .

**Question 11.**

	Aqua	Indigo	Pacific	Average
Market value/ Replacement value	0.833	0.727	0.92	0.827
Market value / sales	1	0.769	0.766	0.845
Market value/ Book value	1.25	1	1.533	1.261
Market to after tax EBIT	13.89	12.5	16.43	14.27

Application of ratios of the comparable firms to Atlantic

	Atlantic	Average	Indicated Value of Equity
Revenues	275	0.845	232.38
BV to Equity	200	1.261	252.20
Net income	15	14.27	214.05
Replacement Cost	250	0.827	206.75
		Average	226.34

Market value of equity of Atlantic ltd according to comparable company method = Rs.226.34 lakhs.

## Question 12.

	Green	Blue	No. Synergy	With Synergy
Revenues	3,281	4,620	7,901	7,901
– COGS	2,920	4,043	6,963	6,795
– Dep	74	200	274	274
= EBIT	287	378	664	832
EBIT (1 – t)	187	245	432	541
– Change in WC	16	22	38	38
FCFF	171	223	394	503
Cost of Equity	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%
Cost of Debt	5.53%	5.53%	5.53%	5.53%
WACC	11.38%	11.98%	11.73%	11.73%
Firm Value	2,681	3,199	5,879	7,479

Synergy Gain = 7479 - 5879 = 1,600

Note: Firm Value = FCFF<sub>1</sub> / (WACC – g)

### Question 13.

To find the value of X's equity shares, subtract the debt of Rs.42 lakh from the total value.

X	Recession	Slow growth	Rapid growth
Equity	(4)	10	30
Debt	42	42	42
Total	38	52	72

There is a negative value of equity in recession, which is not possible because the shares have limited liability. Hence, we will assume that the shares are zero value and the debt has declined to Rs.38 lakhs because of bankruptcy risk. Therefore the expected value of equity and debt can be calculated as follows:

X	Recession	Prob	Slow growth	Prob	Rapid growth	Prob	Expected value
Equity	0	0.20	10	0.55	30	0.25	13.0
Debt	38	0.20	42	0.55	42	0.25	41.2
Total	38	0.20	52	0.55	72	0.25	54.2

To find the value of Y Ltd's equity shares subtract debt of Rs.8 lakhs from the total value.

X	Recession	Prob	Slow growth	Prob	Rapid growth	Prob	Expected value
Equity	52	0.20	67	0.55	107	0.25	74
Debt	8	0.20	8	0.55	8	0.25	8
Total	60	0.20	75	0.55	115	0.25	82

When the two companies merge we have to add the economic values of equity and debt together. Since the bankruptcy risk is disappeared by combining with a low geared company the negative Rs.4 lakhs also has to used while calculating the combined firm's expected value.

X	Recession(0.2)	Slow growth(0.55)	Rapid growth(0.25)	Expected value
Equity	48	77	137	86.2
Debt	50	50	50	50.0
Total	98	127	187	136.2

Sanjay Saraf Sir

Expected equity values		Expected debt values	
X	13	X	41.2
Y	74	Y	8.0
Total	87	Total	49.2
Combined firm loss in capital	0.8	Increase in debt	0.8

After the combination, in the absence of synergy, the total economic value of the business remains at Rs.136.2 lakhs but the expected value or debt has increased by 0.8 lakhs at the expense of equity. Because, under the recession there is no longer a bankruptcy risk for the debt holders of X Ltd.

The cash flows of the combined company may reduce in volatility because of the portfolio effect and this may further reduce the cost of debt, increasing its value.

## Question 14.

Earnings of Venus Ltd.

a. Before the acquisition of Mercury Ltd.

$$\text{Earnings per share} = \frac{7,50,000}{1,00,000} = 7.5$$

$$\text{Assets per share} = \frac{12,50,000}{1,00,000} = 12.5$$

b. Mercury Ltd's EPS

$$= \frac{2,00,000}{50,000} = \text{Rs.4}$$

The company is being bought at Rs.20 per share. If otherwise mean that, the company is being bought on a multiple of 5  $\left( \text{PE} = \frac{\text{MPS}}{\text{EPS}} = \frac{20}{4} \right)$ . As the takeover

consideration is being satisfied by shares,

Venus Ltd's earnings will be diluted because it is valuing Mercury Ltd on a higher multiple of earnings than itself. Venus will have to issue 33,333 shares valued at Rs.30 each (MPS= EPS x 4 = 7.5 x 4) to satisfy the 10, 00,000 consideration

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EPS} &= \frac{7,50,000 + 2,00,000}{1,00,000 + 33,333} \\ &= \frac{9,50,000}{1,33,333} = 7.125 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{APS} = \frac{30,00,000}{1,33,333} = 22.5$$

c. The shareholders of Mercury Ltd would receive 33,333 share in Venus Ltd in exchange for 50,000 shares, i.e.  $\left( \text{exchange ratio} = \frac{33,333}{50,000} = \frac{2}{3} \right)$  two shares in Venus for every three shares currently held.

(i) Earnings	
Three shares in Mercury earn ( $3 \times 4$ )	12
Two shares in Venus will earn ( $2 \times 7.125$ )	14.25
Increase in earnings per three shares held in Mercury	2.25
(ii) Assets	
Three shares in Mercury have an asset backing of ( $3 \times 35$ )	105
Two shares in Venus will have an asset backing ( $2 \times 22.5$ )	45
Loss in asset backing per three shares held in Mercury	60

The shareholders in Mercury Ltd would be trading asset backing for an increase in earnings.

## Question 15.

a.

i. **Post merger share price = Post merger EPS × Premerger P/E.**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exchange Ratio} &= \frac{\text{Price per share offered for Target Company}}{\text{Market Price per share of the acquiring company}} \\ &= \frac{\text{Rs.84.30}}{\text{Rs.56.25}} = 1.5 \end{aligned}$$

Acquiring company issues 1.5 shares of stock for each share of target company stock.

New shares issued by Acquiring Company = Shares of Target Company · Exchange Ratio = 18750 · 1.5 = 28,125.

Total shares outstanding of the combined companies = Acquiring company shares + New shares of Target Company  
112000 + 28125 = 140125.

Post merger EPS of the combined companies

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\text{Earnings available for common stock of acquiring company} + \text{Earning available of target company}}{\text{Total shares outstanding of combined companies after acquisition}} \\ &= \frac{\text{Rs.281500} + \text{Rs.62500}}{140125} \\ &= \frac{\text{Rs.344000}}{140125} = \text{Rs.2.46.} \end{aligned}$$

Premerger EPS of Escorts Multimedia =

$$\frac{\text{Earnings of company}}{\text{No. of shares of acquiring company}} = \frac{\text{Rs.281500}}{112000} = \text{Rs.2.51.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Premerger P/E} &= \frac{\text{Premerger Price Per Share}}{\text{Premerger Earnings Per Share}} \\ &= \frac{\text{Rs.56.25}}{\text{Rs.2.51}} = 22.4 \end{aligned}$$

Post merger share price = Post merger EPS · Premerger P/E  
= Rs.2.46 × 22.4 = Rs.55.10

**ii. Post merger equity ownership distribution**

Ownership of Prudential Advertising

$$\begin{aligned} \text{List} &= \frac{\text{New shares received}}{\text{Total No. of shares outstanding of the combined companies}} \\ &= \frac{28125}{140125} = 20.1\% \end{aligned}$$

Ownership of acquiring company =  $(100 - 20.1\%) = 79.9\%$ .

**iii. Purchase price premium**

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\text{Offer price for target company stock}}{\text{Target company market price per share}} \\ &= \frac{\text{Rs.84.30}}{\text{Rs.62.50}} = 1.35 \text{ or } 35\% \text{ (i.e., } 1.35 - 1.00) \end{aligned}$$

- b. The acquisition results in Rs.1.15 (Rs.56.25 – Rs.55.10) reduction in the share price of the acquiring company due to a Rs.0.05 (Rs.2.51 – Rs.2.46) decline in EPS of the combined companies. (Recall that acquiring company assumed no gains in earnings of the combined companies due to synergy) whether the acquisition is a poor decision depends upon what happens to the earnings of the combined companies over time. If the combined companies earnings grow more rapidly than the acquiring company's earnings would have in the absence of the acquisition, the acquisition may contribute to the market value of the acquiring company.

## Question 16.

- a. Maximum exchange ratio from the point of the shareholders of Harrison corporation

$$\begin{aligned} ER_1 &= \frac{-S_1 + PE_{12}(E_{12})}{S_2 + P_1 S_2} \\ &= \frac{-20 + 12 \times 70}{10 + 30 \times 10} = 0.80 \end{aligned}$$

- b. Minimum exchange ratio from the point of the shareholders of Ericson Corporation

$$\begin{aligned} ER_2 &= \frac{P_2 S_1}{(PE_{12})(E_{12}) - P_2 S_2} \\ &= \frac{20 \times 20}{11 \times (70 \times 1.05) - 20 \times 10} \\ &= \frac{400}{808.5 - 200} = 0.657. \end{aligned}$$

- c. Assuming that there is no synergy gain, the lines ER1 and ER2 will intersect at the weighted average of the two P/E multiples, wherein the weights correspond to the respective earnings of the two firms.

$$\begin{aligned} PE_{12} &= \frac{50}{70} PE_1 + \frac{20}{70} PE_2 \\ &= \frac{50}{70} \times 12 + \frac{20}{70} \times 10 = 11.43. \end{aligned}$$

## Question 17.

a. **Step 1 - Deleveraging :**

$$\text{Asset Beta} = 1.10 / (1 + (25/75) \times 0.8) = 0.868$$

**Step 2 - Releveraging**

$$\beta_L = 0.868 [1 + (1 - 0.4)(0.4 / 0.6)] = 1.215$$

$$k_e = 5.6\% + 1.215(12\% - 5.6\%) = 13.376\%$$

b.  $CF_1 = \text{Rs.}12,00,000$

$CF_2 = \text{Rs.}14,00,000$

$CF_3 = \text{Rs.}16,50,000$

$CF_4 = \text{Rs.}18,00,000$

$$TV = \frac{\text{Rs.}18,00,000 \times 1.05}{0.13376 - 0.05} = \text{Rs.} 22,564,469.91 \text{ at } t = 4$$

$I = 13.376\%$

$PV \text{ of } CF = \text{Rs.} 1,80,25,702.86$

c. **Maximum offering price**

$= \text{Rs.}1,80,25,702.86 / 12,00,000 = \text{Rs.}15.02.$

Obviously, if the tender offer is accepted at this price, EST has paid the maximum amount that could've been paid such that NPV of the acquisition for EST = 0. Hence, EST share price will not be affected. However, if EST can negotiate a lower price, we can expect EST share price to rise.

## Question 18.

- a. The value of the company M/s Gillete Financial Services Ltd., under both cases can be calculated as follows:

(Rs. in lakhs)

Year		1	2	3	4	5	Total
Before merger	Cash flows	22.6	23.4	23.9	24.6	25.2	
	CV	-	-	-	-	299.60*	
After merger	Cash flows	23.1	24.0	24.8	25.3	26.2	
	CV	-	-	-	-	407.97**	
PV of CFs and CV	PVIF@16%	0.862	0.743	0.641	0.552	0.476	
	Before Merger	19.48	17.39	15.32	13.58	154.61	220.38
	After Merger	19.91	17.83	15.90	13.97	206.66	274.27

$$* \quad CV = \frac{25.2(1.07)}{(0.16 - 0.07)} = \frac{26.964}{0.09} = 299.60$$

$$** \quad CV = \frac{26.2(1.09)}{0.16 - 0.09} = \frac{28.558}{0.07} = 407.97$$

The desired PV of cash flows to the management of Gillete Financial Services Ltd., = Rs220.38 × 1.17 = Rs.257.84

Therefore the ownership position required by shareholder of Gillete Financial Services Ltd., in the merged equity i.e.

$$\frac{\text{Rs.257.84}}{\text{Rs.274.27}} = \text{Rs.0.9401}$$

Hence the maximum exchange ratio which will be acceptable to the shareholders of Gillete Financial Services Ltd., will be

$$0.9401 = \frac{N_Q}{N_Q + ERXN_U} = \frac{18,00,000}{(18,00,000 + ER \times 7,00,000)}$$

$$ER = 0.1638$$

- b. Ownership position of Elbit Chartered Ltd = 1 - 0.9401 = 0.0599

Hence, value of ownership = 0.0599 × 274.27 = Rs.16.43 lakhs

Since, it is less than Rs.105 lakh which was before merger.

Therefore, the merger proposition offered by Gillete Financial Services Ltd. will not be acceptable to Elbit Chartered Ltd.

## Question 19.

a. Unlevered beta for firms in same business

$$= 1.30 / (1 + 0.65 \times 0.2) = 1.15$$

$$\text{Debt/equity ratio} = \text{Debt/Estimated market value of equity} = \frac{10}{30} = 33.33\%$$

$$\text{New levered beta for similar firms} = 1.15 \times (1 + 0.65 \times 0.3333)$$

$$= 1.40 \quad \text{New cost of equity} = 5.5\% + (1.40 \times 5.5\%) = 13.2\%$$

b. Pre-tax cost of debt = 10%

$$\text{After tax cost of debt} = 10\% (1 - 0.35) = 6.5\%$$

$$\text{Cost of capital} = 6.5\% (0.25) + 13.2\% (0.75) = 11.53\%$$

c.

i. Using the firm approach

(Rupees in lakhs)

Particulars	1	2	3	4	5	Terminal year
EBIT	2.40	2.88	3.46	4.15	4.98	5.23
- EBIT (1 - Tax rate)	1.56	1.872	2.249	2.6975	3.237	3.3995
- (Cap Ex-Depreciation)	0.60	0.72	0.86	1.04	1.24	0.00
FCFF	0.96	1.152	1.389	1.6575	1.997	3.3995
Terminal value	--	--	--	--	52.06*	--

$$* \quad \text{Terminal value} = \frac{3.3995}{(0.1153 - 0.05)} = \text{Rs.52.06 lakhs}$$

$$\text{Present value (Value of firm) @ 11.53\%} = \frac{0.96}{1.1153} + \frac{1.152}{1.1153^2} + \frac{1.389}{1.1153^3} + \frac{1.6575}{1.1153^4} + \frac{1.997}{1.1153^5}$$

$$= \frac{0.96}{1.1153} + \frac{1.152}{1.70} + \frac{1.389}{2.42} + \frac{1.6575}{3.07} + \frac{1.997 + 52.06}{3.65}$$

$$= 0.861 + 0.678 + 0.574 + 0.54 + 14.81$$

$$= \text{Rs.17.463 lakhs}$$

$$\text{Value of equity} = \text{Rs.17.463} - \text{Rs.10 lakh} = \text{Rs.7.463 lakhs}$$

ii. Using the equity approach

(Rs. in lakhs)

Particulars	1	2	3	4	5	Terminal year
Net Income	0.75	0.94	1.17	1.46	1.83	1.98
Less: (Cap. Exp – Dep) × (1 – Debt ratio)	0.45	0.54	0.65	0.78	0.93	0.00
FCFE	0.30	0.40	0.52	0.69	0.90	1.98
Terminal value	–	–	–	–	–	20.41

$$\text{Terminal value of equity} = \frac{1.98}{(0.132 - 0.05)} = \text{Rs.24.146 lakhs}$$

Present value (Using cost of equity of 13.2%)

$$= \frac{0.30}{132} + \frac{0.40}{132^2} + \frac{0.52}{132^3} + \frac{0.69}{132^4} + \frac{0.90 + 20.41}{132^5}$$

$$= \frac{0.30}{132} + \frac{0.40}{1.664} + \frac{0.52}{2.353} + \frac{0.69}{2.962} + \frac{25.046}{3.50}$$

$$= 0.265 + 0.240 + 0.221 + 0.233 + 7.156$$

$$\text{Present value} = \text{Rs.8.115 lakhs.}$$

## Question 20

The customer gets Rs.59.00 lacs if he relies on the rate of Rs.5.90 / Skr quoted by the foreign bank. Since he approached his banker (a private sector bank), the rate quoted by him is to be compared with the rate quoted by the foreign bank.

The dealer of the private sector bank can cover his position either in Bahrain or Singapore market.

I. If the dealer covers the transaction through Singapore market:

Sell 1million Swedish Kroner at the rate of 7.9662 and acquire dollars and sell the dollars in

Mumbai at the buying rate of Rs.47.20 / \$. The exchange margin of 0.005 paise is to be deducted.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{Rs} / \text{Skr})\text{bid} &= (\text{Rs} / \$) \text{bid} \times (\$ / \text{Skr}) \text{bid} \\
 &= (\text{Rs} / \$) \text{bid} \times \frac{1}{(\text{Skr} / \$)\text{ask}} \\
 &= 47.20 \times \frac{1}{7.9662} \\
 &= 5.925 \\
 \text{Less exchange margin} &= 0.005 \\
 \hline
 &= 5.92 \text{ per Skr}
 \end{aligned}$$

II. If the dealer covers the transaction, through Bahrain market:

Sell 1 million Swedish Kroner at the rate of 12.7539 and acquire pounds and sell the pounds in Mumbai at the buying rate of 75.95. The exchange margin of 0.005 paise is to be deducted

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{Rs} / \text{Skr}) \text{bid} &= (\text{Rs} / \text{£}) \text{bid} \times (\text{£} / \text{Skr}) \text{bid} \\
 &= (\text{Rs} / \text{£}) \text{bid} \times \frac{1}{(\text{Skr} / \text{£})\text{ask}} \\
 &= 75.95 \times \frac{1}{12.7539} \\
 &= 5.955 \\
 \text{Less exchange margin} &= 0.005 \\
 \hline
 &= 5.95 \text{ per Skr}
 \end{aligned}$$

The dealer must cover the transaction through Bahrain market as the rate per Skr is Rs.5.955. The customer gains 5 paise per Skr if he chooses to rely on the rate given by his banker. The gain is  $0.05 \times 10,00,000 = \text{Rs.}50,000$ .

## Question 21.

Expected return from the scrip Morepen Lab Ltd. =

$$R_f + \beta (R_m - R_f) = 0.065 + 1.65 (0.12 - 0.065) = 15.575\%$$

Robinson buys Rs.1 million worth of shares of Morepen Lab Ltd. The value of his investment after one year =  $1000\ 000 (1.15575) = 1155750$

If the current spot rate of AUS \$ / Re is 'x', after one year, it is expected to be AUS\$ 0.97x / Re

Hence Australian \$ return on Robinson's investment in Morepen Lab Ltd.

$$= \frac{[(1155750)(0.97x) - 1000000x]}{1000000x}$$

$$= 12.10775 \%$$

Say 12.11%

$$\text{Variance of his returns} = 25 + 10 + 2 \times 0 \times \sqrt{25 \times 10} = 35$$

Hence the expected return of Mr. Robinson is 12.11% with a risk of 35(%)<sup>2</sup>.

## Question 22.

### Alternative I

Investing in Euro (home currency)

$$\text{Returns after 3 months} = 2000000 \left( 1 + \frac{0.032}{4} \right) = 2016000$$

$$\text{Returns for 3 months} = 2016000 - 2000000 = \text{Euro } 16000$$

### Alternative II

Investing in dollars

\$ / Euro spot 1.1410/12

MNC buys dollars at 1.1410/ Euro

$$\text{Inflow of dollars for Euro 2 mio} = 2000000 \times 1.1410 = \$2282000$$

Invest dollars at 2.6% for 3 months.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Returns in dollars} &= 2282000 \left( 1 + \frac{0.026}{4} \right) \\ &= \$2296833 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MNC will sell \$, to buy Euro at forward rate of } \$1.1393/\text{Euro} &= \frac{2296833}{1.1393} \\ &= \text{Euro } 2016003.69 \\ &\text{say Euro } 2016004 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Returns for three months} = 2016004 - 2000000 = \text{Euro } 16004$$

### Alternative III

invest in pounds

$$\begin{aligned} \text{£ / Euro spot bid rate} &= (\text{£ / \$})_{\text{bid}} \times (\text{\$/Euro})_{\text{bid}} \\ &= 0.6217 \times 1.1410 \\ &= \text{£}0.7094 / \text{Euro} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{£ / Euro ask rate} = 0.6219 \times 1.1412 = \text{£ } 0.7097 / \text{Euro}$$

$$\text{£ / Euro 3 months forward bid rate} = 0.6230 \times 1.1390 = \text{£ } 0.7096 / \text{Euro}$$

£ / Euro 3 months forward ask rate =  $0.6233 \times 1.1393 = \text{£ } 0.7101 / \text{Euro}$

MNC buys pounds at 0.7094 / Euro

Inflow of pounds for Euro 2 mio =  $2000000 \times 0.7094 = \text{£ } 1418800$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Invest pounds at 3\% for 3 months} & \quad 1418800 \times \left(1 + \frac{0.03}{4}\right) \\ & = \text{£ } 1429441 \end{aligned}$$

After 3 months MNC will sell pounds to buy Euro at forward rate of £ 0.7101 / Euro

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inflow of Euro} & = \frac{1429441}{0.7101} \\ & = \text{Euro } 2013013.66 \end{aligned}$$

Say Euro 2013014

Return for 3 months =  $2013014 - 2000\ 000 = 13014$

If MNC invests in dollars the inflow is more by just Euro 4 only.

Hence it is advisable to invest in home currency of Euro, to avoid transaction costs.

## Question 23.

### i. Rs./Euro bid rate

Borrow Rs.42.42 for 1 month at 9% pa and buy 1 Euro

$$\text{Repay with interest at 9\%} = 42.42 \left(1 + \frac{0.09}{12}\right) = 42.74$$

$$\text{Invest 1 Euro for 1 month to get} = 1 \left(1 + \frac{0.03}{12}\right) = 1.0025$$

To prevent covered interest arbitrage,

$$1.0025 \times (\text{Rs./Euro}) \text{ bid} \leq 42.74$$

$$(\text{Rs./Euro}) \text{ bid} \leq 42.63$$

### Rs./Euro ask rate

Borrow 1 Euro for 1 month at 3.36%pa and sell it to get Rs.42.38

$$\text{Repayment in Euro} = 1 \left(1 + \frac{0.0336}{12}\right) = 1.0028$$

$$\text{Invest Rs.42.38 at 8\%} = 42.38 \left(1 + \frac{0.08}{12}\right) = 42.66.$$

To prevent covered interest arbitrage,

$$1.0028 \times (\text{Rs./Euro}) \text{ ask} \geq 42.66$$

$$(\text{Rs./Euro}) \text{ ask} \geq 42.54.$$

### ii. The synthetic quotes to prevent 3 point arbitrage:

$$(\text{Rs./Euro}) \text{ bid} = (\text{Rs./\$}) \text{ bid} \times (\$/\text{Euro}) \text{ bid}$$

$$= (\text{Rs./\$}) \text{ bid} \times \frac{1}{(\text{Euro}/\$) \text{ ask}} = 48.66 \times \frac{1}{1.1495} = 42.33.$$

$$(\text{Rs./Euro}) \text{ ask} = (\text{Rs./\$}) \text{ ask} \times (\$/\text{Euro}) \text{ ask} = (\text{Rs./\$}) \text{ ask} \times \frac{1}{(\text{Euro}/\$) \text{ bid}}$$

$$= 48.86 \times \frac{1}{1.1485} = 42.54.$$

Synthetic rate: Rs./Euro = 42.33/54

Since the actual (Rs./Euro) ask which is 42.28 is less than synthetic (Rs./Euro) bid rate of 42.33, there is scope for triangular currency arbitrage.

Buy 1 Euro for Rs.42.28

Convert Euro to \$ to get  $\frac{1}{1.1495} = \$0.8699$

Sell \$ to get  $0.8699 \times 48.66 = \text{Rs.}42.33$

Thus by investing Rs.42.28

We are making a gain of Rs.0.05.

## Question 24.

The company requires Rs.50 million

Borrow in Dollars:

$$\text{Amount of dollars required to be borrowed} = \frac{50}{48.50} = 1.030928 \text{ million}$$

$$\text{Amount to be repaid after 6 months} = 1.030928 \left(1 + \frac{0.04}{4}\right) = \$ 1.041237 \text{ million}$$

If covered through forward market, rupee outflow =  $1.041237 \times 49.05 = 51.0727$

$$\text{Annualized effective cost of borrowing} = \frac{51.0727 - 50}{50} \times \frac{12}{3} = 8.58\%$$

If kept open position, rupee outflow =  $1.041237 \times 48.95 = 50.96855$ .

$$\text{Annualized effective cost of borrowing} = \frac{50.96855 - 50}{50} \times \frac{12}{3} = 7.75\%$$

Borrow in sterling:

$$\text{Amount of sterling required to be borrowed} = \frac{50}{74.05} = \text{£ } 0.675219 \text{ million}$$

$$\text{Amount to be repaid after 3 months} = 0.675219 \left(1 + \frac{0.06}{4}\right) = \text{£ } 0.685347 \text{ million}$$

If covered through forward market rupee outflow =  $0.685347 \times 75 = 51.401025$

$$\text{Effective cost of borrowing} = \frac{51.401025 - 50}{50} \times \frac{12}{3} = 11.21\%$$

If kept open position, rupee outflow

$$= 0.685347 \times 74.80 = 51.263956.$$

$$\text{Effective cost of borrowing} = \frac{51.263956 - 50}{50} \times \frac{12}{3} = 10.11\%$$

So, it is better to borrow in dollars and keep open position.

## Question 25.

Investment in India

Size of the investment : £ 1 million

Investment in Rs. :  $1 \times 69.50 = \text{Rs.}69.50$  million.

Return earned =  $0.15 \times 69.50 = \text{Rs.}10.425$  million

Post tax return =  $\text{Rs.}10.425 \times (1 - 0.15) = \text{Rs.}8.86125$  million

Total amount to be repatriated =  $\text{Rs.}(69.50 + 8.86125)$  million =  $\text{Rs.}78.36125$  million

If PPP holds good, exchange rate after 1 year is

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Rs./£}) \text{ bid} &= 69.50 \times \frac{1.04}{1.02} \\ &= 70.86 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Rs./£}) \text{ ask} &= 69.70 \times \frac{1.04}{1.02} \\ &= 71.07 \end{aligned}$$

Rs./£ after 1 year =  $70.86/71.07$

∴ FII can repatriate  $\text{Rs.}78.36125$  million by buying pounds at  $\text{Rs.}71.07$  per pound

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{78.36125}{71.07} \\ &= \text{£ } 1.1026 \text{ million} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{Return in £} = \frac{1.1026 - 1.00}{1.00} = 10.26\%$$

### Investment in Hong Kong

Investment in HK \$ =  $1 \times 11.15 = \text{HK \$ } 11.15$  million

Return earned =  $0.12 \times 11.15 = \text{HK \$ } 1.338$  million

Post tax return =  $1.338 \times 0.88 = \text{HK \$ } 1.1774$

HK \$ to be repatriated =  $11.15 + 1.1774 = \text{HK \$ } 12.3274$

Expected (HK \$/£) bid after 1 year

$$= 11.15 \times \frac{1.03}{1.02} = 11.26$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{HK \$ / £}) \text{ ask} &= 11.18 \times \frac{1.03}{1.02} \\ &= 11.29.\end{aligned}$$

FII can repatriate in pounds at the rate of HK \$ 11.29/£

$$\begin{aligned}&= \frac{12.3274}{11.29} \\ &= \text{£}1.09189\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{Return in £} = \frac{1.09189 - 1}{1} = 9.19\%$$

As the expected return earned in India is higher, the FII should invest in India.